♠ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

Uniti	ED STATES DISTRIC	CT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
	District of	NEBRASKA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	A	2005 JUN 30 PM 4: 58
V.	ORDER	OF DETENTION RENDINGET BURK
PABLO LOSANO		: 4:02CR3026
Defendant In accordance with the Bail Reform Act. 18 U	[S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has h	seen held. I conclude that the following facts require the
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
Part I—Findings of Fact  ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state		
or local offense that would have been a fe a crime of violence as defined in 18	ederal offense if a circumstance giving ris U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).	
an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.  an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.		
<ul> <li>(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.</li> <li>(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).</li> </ul>		
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.		
Alternative Findings (A)  X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense		
for which a maximum term under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	of imprisonment of ten years o	r 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq
		dition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
Alternative Findings (B)		
<ul> <li>(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.</li> <li>(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.</li> </ul>		
·		
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention  I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the eyidence that		
From record includes wons charge + FTA's		
The defendant is committed to the custody of the to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting o reasonable opportunity for private consultation wi	or serving sentences or being held in cust ith defense counsel. On order of a court	sentative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, tody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a of the United States or on request of an attorney for the
Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deriver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
6/30/05 / and Tueller		
Date  Signature of Judicial Officer  David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge		
,		d Title of Judicial Officer

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).